The title of Daniel Michael Canavan's fine Christmas painting on our cover is "Stopping By Woods," the famous Robert Frost poem which concludes: "But I have promises to keep, / And miles to go before I sleep, / And miles to go before I sleep." Our Editor, Robert Welch, was quoting those lines to us not long ago. Both for pleasure and as a renewed pledge.

We had been remarking that the year 1958 had been an unusually busy one for him. It began fast with his founding on January second of American Opinion magazine, and escalated toward the founding December ninth of The John Birch Society. Remembering your faithfulness as always, Mr. Welch has asked us to invite you to celebrate the first of these twentieth anniversaries with him as an added feature of the Dallas Council Dinner of The John Birch Society in the beautiful Fairmont Hotel on Saturday, December 10, 1977. There will be two featured speakers for this important and serious occasion. The first will be the Honorable I-cheng Loh, Director of the Chinese Information Service for the United States. Mr. Loh, whose name is pronounced Lou but whose eloquence and wit are as lofty as his intelligence, will tell what he has learned about the American people in the fourteen years of triumph and heartache in which he has represented the Republic of China in our country.

The second featured speaker has asked us to announce that he did not study humor under Abbott and Costello and oratory at the Tobacco School for Auctioneers. He is American Opinion Managing Editor Scott Stanley Jr., whose speech for this very special Council Dinner will report how and where "We Are Breaking Through."

American Opinion authors will be coming from all over the country to be introduced by founder and editor Robert Welch, personally to meet and talk with as many of you as possible, and to celebrate the twentieth anniversary of the founding of our magazine. So we hope that as many of your subscribers and friends as possible will join us at the Dallas Council Dinner and the reception beginning at 6:30 P.M. on December tenth. Tickets are $22.00 per person by mail from The John Birch Society, 558 South Central Expressway, Suite 270, Richardson, Texas 75080.

We began here by talking about miles to go and promises to keep. In Dallas we hope to begin a second twenty years of faithful commitment of personally wishing many of our readers a Merry Christmas. For those whose miles and promises require that you be elsewhere, we take this earlier opportunity to send our highest hope that your Christmas be a happy and holy one.

Sincerely,

Scott Stanley Jr.
Indeed, even the Labor Department has acknowledged that unemployment will be increased — proposing, however, to solve this by higher taxation or deficit spending to create the usual “public service” jobs. Economist Henry Hazlitt explains the game:

If the government has imposed a minimum wage law, for example, it has in effect condemned to unemployment all the workers incapable of earning that minimum. If, then, the government “provides work” for them, it is at best doing merely what it has prevented private employers from doing. If it pays these workers the legal minimum, as it probably would, it is employing them at an economic loss made up by the taxpayers, for such workers are almost certainly producing less value than the amount of their pay. Private employers would at least have employed them (if there had been no minimum wage law) where their productive value was highest. [The Conquest Of Poverty, New Rochelle, Arlington House, 1973.]

I suggest that we have reached the nadir of economic absurdity when the mayor of a town in Texas is moved to advertise, as was recently done, for “people who won’t work under any circumstances.” He explained with Texas good humor that he wanted to qualify the town for the proper rate of unemployment so it could receive further federal aid. You pay for it in lost opportunity and ever higher taxes.

The Carter Administration is full of such schemes. Part of the initial “tax reform” planned by the Administration was aimed at taxing capital gains — currently given a fifty percent preference — as though it were regular income. This scheme to discourage job-creating investment is indicative of the anti-employment fanaticism of the Carterites. Already $54 billion of the $127 billion earned by American corporations each year are lost to taxes — leaving (less dividends) only $41 billion for the capital investment that accounts for private jobs. Adjusting for rises in replacement costs resulting from inflation, that figure is further reduced by $28 billion. If the Carter Administration has its way, the whole of American industry could find itself in the position of our steel producers and their unemployed workers.

Little wonder that the Dow-Jones dropped one hundred eighty points in the first three quarters of the Carter Administration.

It could do nothing but good for the President to delay indefinitely his idea for a more “progressive” tax system and to reduce tax rates across the board. As the Wall Street Journal has reported: “For the last 13 years, since the last genuine adjustment in rates, Americans have been thrust into ever higher progressive tax brackets by inflation alone. With the new Social Security rates tacked to personal tax rates, the $20,000 worker will soon be in the 51% bracket, not even counting state and local taxes.”

(Continued on Page 101.)

Years of educational efforts are beginning to pay off as more and more Americans, from all parts of the ideological spectrum, are catching on to the role of conspiracy in political affairs. We reviewed many examples in Part One of this article in the November AMERICAN OPINION. Even as our report was reaching the newsstands, however, yet another important conspiracy monograph appeared in Penthouse for November. By Craig S. Karpel, it is entitled “Cartergate: The Death Of Democracy,” and begins by laying it on the line:

“The presidency of the United States and the key cabinet departments of the federal government have been taken over by a private organization dedicated to the subordi-
F. Kennedy. President Kennedy, says the author, had been adopted by the Eastern Establishment and put into the Oval Office as its proxy. The Cowboys supposedly found Kennedy intolerable because of the Bay of Pigs fiasco; his struggle with Big Steel; the proposed elimination of the oil depletion allowance; the prospective pull-out in Vietnam; and, strong federal involvement in support of the Civil Rights movement. So, says the former S.D.S. leader, the Cowboys lured Kennedy into their own back yard and had him killed.

Taking a page from the book of AMERICAN OPINION's Medford Evans, Oglesby calls the Dallas assassination a coup d'etat — but for far different reasons. He notes correctly that nobody out of diapers believes the Warren Commission Report. But then he declares: "What kind of conspiracy was it? I have developed aspects of one view in this book: I say JFK was killed by a rightist conspiracy formed out of anti-Castro Cuban exiles, the Syndicate, and a Cowboy oligarchy, supported by renegade CIA and FBI agents. The Warren Commission thought always in terms of a lone assassin versus a foreign conspiracy and scarcely entertained the domestic-conspiracy option except in those hushed, frightened secret meetings (transcripts of which were declassified in 1974 and 1975) called by Warren in January 1964 to discuss the troubling news that Oswald had possibly been an FBI informant for the fourteen months prior to the assassination. So it is today. The voices of cover-up are even now saying: There was no conspiracy."

Here Oglesby is wrapped in speculation and naked of evidence. We are not given any clue as to whom the Cowboys are, which of them ordered the trigger pulled, or how they did it. We are only told what everybody knows, that evidence was suppressed. Oglesby cites evidence of conspiracy also referred to by Dr. Evans and other Americanists, but he leaps to conclusions about phantoms for whom his active imagination cannot find names and faces.

The fact is that so much misinformation and disinformation has been wrapped around the Kennedy assassination that, by selecting and ignoring, one could probably "prove" that the whole conspiracy was pulled off by the Easter Bunny. Much of the key evidence has been locked away for decades and there is no way to tell how much has been altered or destroyed. So any journeyman polemician might make a case for the guilt of the Left, the Right, pro-Castro Cubans, anti-Castro Cubans, Soviets, or even a lone assassin. Oglesby attempts to strengthen his purely theoretical and totally speculative case by placing the assassination in the larger context of a war between the Cowboys and the Yankees. He writes: "The argument of this book is that the emerging clash of Yankee and Cowboy wills beneath the visible stream of events is the dominant fact of real U.S. political life since 1960. The dissolution of the Yankee/Cowboy consensus of World War II and the Cold War until 1960 is behind the Dallas of Kennedy and the Watergate of Nixon."

According to Carl Oglesby, it was chiefly the Vietnam War which provoked the serious breach between the Cowboys and Yankees and eventually necessitated Watergate. He writes: "The lines of division became clear early in 1968 with the rapid crystallizing of a whole new front of opposition to the war, that of the 'corporate liberals.' Formerly, the established liberalism of the sort we associate with Xerox and Harvard had been inclined to define itself in terms..."
emand that we win the war in Viet-
am, one must remember that Nixon delayed around with it for four years nd then betrayed the whole of Indo-
china to the Communists. This isn't John Wayne, it was the clown from Blazing Saddles. Unfortunately, the Yankee half of what Carl Oglesby calls the Cowboy/Yankee Conspiracy is altogether real.

While Oglesby's background and anti-Conservative prejudices lead him to wrong conclusions about the power of a nonexistent Cowboy network, I believe he is sincerely mistaken. This is not true of the National Caucus of Labor Committees (N.C.L.C.), also known as the U.S. Labor Party U.S.L.P.). What makes this group dangerous is that while it is an avow-
dly Communist organization, it has carefully patterned its conspiracy theory after that of The John Birch Society. What the U.S. Labor Party lacks, however, is so twist, distort, and exaggerate the situation that it has the effect of making the whole thing look bizarre and absurd.

During the past two years your correspondents have appeared on many radio talk shows to discuss his book, The Rockefeller File. Invariably the host will at some point say something like: "We get calls every day from a Com-
munist agent on Capitol Hill who wrote the introduction to my book exposing the Rockefellers, is the chief Rockefeller agent on Capitol Hill. Which leads one to suspect that the whole purpose of the Labor Party is to confuse the public at a time when more and more people are becoming aware that a Rockefeller-dominated Invisible Government calls the shots in our national politics.

The U.S. Labor Party is headquar-
tered in New York City and claims some fifty chapters scattered through the United States with a number of affiliates in Europe. Estimates are that it has about one thousand members, perhaps half residing in the New York area. The organization was created by one Lyndon Her-
myle LaRouche and its actions and philosophy reflect his thinking. La-
Rouche's control over his (mostly) young followers is all but absolute. His psychological hold over them has been compared with that exercised by the leaders of the Moonie and Hare Krishna cults. Labor Party members are encouraged to cut all ties with friends and family in order to ded-
icate themselves fanatically to the party. Participation in any activity which does not serve the party's interests generally results in the matter being turned over to its version of the G.P.U., known as the Security Staff. The N.C.L.C. is not a social club for dilettantes.

LaRouche presents himself with hy-
perbolic dignity, but you don't have to listen very long to conclude that you are being treated to a comic parody of the American view of Conspiracy or being subjected to the ravings of a maniac.

Since Lyndon LaRouche is the U.S. Labor Party, it is important to know something about his background. Now fifty-five, he attended North-
western University, but did not gradu-
ate. During the early days of World War II, and even before the United States entered the conflict, he was interned in a work camp for conscientious objectors, where he was con-
verted to Marxism by Communists.

And know, my son, that while one American still affirms life greatly, the greatness of America still endures and abides.

E. Merrill Root

Not yet, O Freedom! close thy lids
in slumber, for thine enemy never
sleeps.

William Cullen Bryant

Mankind does not begin with liberty. Mankind acquires liberty through civilization.

John W. Burgess

Liberty under law remains the hope of the world.

Samuel B. Pettengill

There can be no such thing as liberty where there is not rational reflection and choice.

William Graham Sumner

Back of ninety-nine out of one hundred assertions that a thing cannot be done is nothing but the unwillingness to do it.

William Feather

The greatest truths are the simplest; and so are the greatest men.

Augustus Hare

Work is not only a way to make a living, it is the way to make a life.

A Laborer

We are not punished for our sins, but by them.

Elbert Hubbard

Well arranged time is the surest mark of a well arranged mind.

Sir Isaac Pitman

It is vital to U.S. interests to retain complete ownership and control of the Canal.

Admiral Thomas Moorer

He reminds me of the man who murdered his parents, and then, when sentence was about to be an-
nounced, pleaded for mercy on the grounds that he was an orphan.

Abraham Lincoln

In many cases rent control appears to be the most efficient technique presently known to destroy a city—except for bombing.

Assar Lindbeck

A man with a flag in his pack and the desire to run it up on an enemy stronghold isn't likely to bug out.

Colonel "Chesty" Puller

Giving our Canal to Torrijos would be tantamount to handing it to Mos-
cow.

Alan Stang

The Latin American Communists welcome President Carter's new [Panama Canal] treaty with the forebearance of a hungry shark.

Henry J. Taylor

The only genuine relief from the costs of government comes from a reduction of government spending. Anything else is merely a game that is designed to fool the people.

Hans Sennholz

The government is the problem, and that is why I vote "no" when extravagant, wasteful federal pro-
grams are proposed in the Senate.

Senator Jesse Helms
Dr. Allen Krebs, who had been forced out of Adelphi University after having joined the Trotskyite Communists of the Communist Party, U.S.A., perhaps to avoid the F.U.N.Y. acronym — was opened in July 1965 "in response to the intellectual bankruptcy and spiritual emptiness of the American educational establishment." Key man in its creation was Dr. Allen Krebs, who had been forced out of Adelphi University after having traveled to Cuba in 1964, contrary to State Department regulations. As it happens, he made the trip with members of the Progressive Labor Party, a Maoist faction which split away from the Communist Party, U.S.A.

The list of LaRouche’s Comrades at F.U.N.Y. during those years reads like a Who’s Who of both the old and new Left. Among them were Sharon Krebs, a supporter of the Weather Underground later convicted for conspiracy to bomb banks; Tuli Kupferberg and Ed Sanders, founders of the Young International Party (the infamous Yippies); Staughton Lynd, the Marxist history professor from Yale and the Maoist Progressive Labor Movement; and, Irving Silber of the Guardian, an “independent” Marxist-Leninist newspaper.

LaRouche’s big opportunity came in June 1968 with the S.D.S.-led student riots at Columbia. The “professor” without a college degree taught not only at F.U.N.Y. but also gave a course on “Elementary Marxist Economics” at the S.D.S. Summer Liberation School. These associations were used to create a leadership role for him within the S.D.S. Labor Committee, a faction which originated from a split in the Maoist Progressive Labor Party cadre within Students for a Democratic Society. It pledged to develop “class-wide support for student strike committees . . . .”

In January 1969, the S.D.S. National Council placed an embargo on the Labor Committee to stop it from operating under the S.D.S. name. The explanation was that the Labor Committee had violated the New Left’s revolutionary orthodoxy by supporting New York City teachers who struck in opposition to the Balkanization of the city schools in a “community control” scheme designed to put an assortment of radicals and racketeers on local school boards. From 1969 to mid-1972 the organization was all but dormant as “professor” LaRouche played at developing and expounding his peculiar “inter-

The Free University of New York — subsequently called the Free School of New York and Alternate U., perhaps to avoid the F.U.N.Y. acronym — was opened in July 1965 “in response to the intellectual bankruptcy and spiritual emptiness of the American educational establishment.” Key man in its creation was Dr. Allen Krebs, who had been forced out of Adelphi University after having traveled to Cuba in 1964, contrary to State Department regulations. As it happens, he made the trip with members of the Progressive Labor Party, a Maoist faction which split away from the Communist Party, U.S.A.

The list of LaRouche’s Comrades at F.U.N.Y. during those years reads like a Who’s Who of both the old and new Left. Among them were Sharon Krebs, a supporter of the Weather Underground later convicted for conspiracy to bomb banks; Tuli Kupferberg and Ed Sanders, founders of the Young International Party (the infamous Yippies); Staughton Lynd, the Marxist history professor from Yale and the Maoist Progressive Labor Movement; and, Irving Silber of the Guardian, an “independent” Marxist-Leninist newspaper.

LaRouche’s big opportunity came in June 1968 with the S.D.S.-led student riots at Columbia. The “professor” without a college degree taught not only at F.U.N.Y. but also gave a course on “Elementary Marxist Economics” at the S.D.S. Summer Liberation School. These associations were used to create a leadership role for him within the S.D.S. Labor Committee, a faction which originated from a split in the Maoist Progressive Labor Party cadre within Students for a Democratic Society. It pledged to develop “class-wide support for student strike committees . . . .”

In January 1969, the S.D.S. National Council placed an embargo on the Labor Committee to stop it from operating under the S.D.S. name. The explanation was that the Labor Committee had violated the New Left’s revolutionary orthodoxy by supporting New York City teachers who struck in opposition to the Balkanization of the city schools in a “community control” scheme designed to put an assortment of radicals and racketeers on local school boards. From 1969 to mid-1972 the organization was all but dormant as “professor” LaRouche played at developing and expounding his peculiar “inter-

...
to be Lefter-Than-Thou, members more famous and deadly F.A.L.N. terrorist organization known as M.I.R.A., a group which spawned the more famous and deadly F.A.L.N.

Suddenly Comrade Lyndon's group emerged as the National Caucus of Labor Committees — disciplined, violence-oriented, and revolutionary. LaRouche, who has called himself "the American Lenin," began giving his cadre instructions in hand-to-hand combat and use of explosives at a farm near Argyle in upstate New York. The demolitions classes were taught by an N.C.L.C. expert who had been a member of the Puerto Rican terrorist organization known as the C.I.A. to attack and destroy Marxist leaders and their organizations. Close ties were established with the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, the terrorist atrocities of the last few years. Members of the N.C.L.C. put their combat training to good use. Claiming to be Lefter-Than-Thou, members began showing up at meetings of the Communist Party, U.S.A., and other Marxist groups and physically beating those in attendance. Naturally this stroke of Public Relations made a pariah of the Labor Party on the Left. The N.C.L.C. reinforced this reputation by producing vicious and vituperative attacks on Leftist groups.

According to an undercover operative, during 1974 the N.C.L.C. cultivated contacts with Palestinian terrorist organizations. Close ties were established with the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, the organization which has claimed responsibility for most of the Arab terrorist atrocities of the last few years. Members of the N.C.L.C. put their combat training to good use. Claiming to be Lefter-Than-Thou, members began showing up at meetings of the Communist Party, U.S.A., and other Marxist groups and physically beating those in attendance. Naturally this stroke of Public Relations made a pariah of the Labor Party on the Left. The N.C.L.C. reinforced this reputation by producing vicious and vituperative attacks on Leftist groups.

As sophisticated as a speech by King Kong at a meeting of the D.A.R. In its newspaper (New Solidarity) and in its flyers, imminent thermonuclear war is a favorite theme of the Cau- suoids. The following, which appeared under the subtitle heading: "You Have ONLY A FEW WEEKS TO LIVE... UNLESS YOU STOP ROCKY NOW" is typical: "The U.S. is on the edge of thermonuclear war! Unless ordinary people come out to protest now, the drunken Rockefeller's insane game gives you and your children a few weeks or even days before the hydrogen bombs start dropping on you!"

Usually the Labor Party piggy-backs on Americanist themes, but in such a bizarre way as to make them seem real. The technique is suggested by the following:

"Recent activities by Rockefeller-CIA operatives deployed in transportation, mining, raw materials and the Watergate campaign in the United States confirm and significantly expand New Solidarity's previous intelligence estimate that a major CIA-engineered political upheaval would occur in this country this spring..."

"We have recently uncovered more deadly contingency plans in Rockefeller's arsenal, all being activated at the same time. Rockefeller's successful, coordinated implementation of these plans, detailed in the following fact sheet, could bring down on the U.S. population not mere emergency military intervention, but at the least temporary military takeover of most major government functions on the Tavistock model of food control and 1984 fascism..."

"The main design behind these hideous machinations comes from the Tavistock Institute [a think-tank in England], the brain center for Anglo-American fascism set up with Rockefeller money in 1934."

Following the November elections, these same Communists put out a flyer which hit a new high in craziness. Under the headline "Attorney General Levi Unleashes Manson," it began: "Super-psychotic Charles Manson has been unleashed again, now to threaten the U.S. Labor Party — one week before the scheduled arrival of U.S. Labor Party Presidential Candidate Lyndon LaRouche in San Francisco. A letter — purported to be written by Manson himself and mailed by an accomplice — was received by the Labor Party in San Francisco, threatening the Labor Party but also with numerous references to former president Nixon."

After listing some Labor Party members who have allegedly been assaulted by the Manson gang, the Labor Party flyer continues:

"These zombies are the last resort of Nelson Rockefeller — a desperate beast who is only half-beaten. He must be stopped.

Since at least the early 1960's, politics in this country has been largely under the control of the "Invisible Government" — the CIA machine under the personal direction of Nelson Rockefeller. John F. Kennedy tried to buck it and got assassinated. Nixon got Watergated. They would not let Bobby Kennedy get near it. Anyone who threatened to get in the way got it — Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, even George Wallace.

After getting shot twice [sic], President Ford finally got the message and began to fight back. But so far he has only gone half-way. He got rid of some of Rockefeller's men, but he left Fat Henry Kissinger, Edward Levi, and Nelson himself in a position to do a lot of damage. Now we've got to finish the job; we have to bust up Rockefeller's police-state apparatus — his FBI goons, his LEAA brainwashers, and his drug pushers."

Lyndon LaRouche, grand pooh-bah of the Caucusoids, prefers a different style of rhetoric for his own lengthy treatises. These position papers are written in such pretentious language as to be hilarious. Pseudoun-academic, rambling, long-winded, and obscure, they amount to double-talk. Either LaRouche does his writing by the light of the full moon or he is purposely creating a straw man for the Rockefellers and their friends to point to as typical of those concerned about their involvement in the Conspiracy.

I mean this business gets truly mad. LaRouche, who was the Labor Party's 1976 Presidential candidate, claims to be confident that the American people will carry him on their shoulders to the White House. Under his picture on the back cover of his latest book, "The Case Of Walter Lippmann," is this statement: "Mr. LaRouche is currently involved in international negotiations aimed at the establishment of a new private bank which would serve..."
as the vehicle for creating a new world monetary system replacing the International Monetary Fund. Mr. LaRouche is committed to head such a bank until such time as he is called to serve the United States as President."

Draw another foamy one from the tap, bartender. And check to see if that guy's cigarettes have labels on them.

The current major push of the Labor Party is to penetrate, subvert, and financially exploit Conservative organizations under the guise of a Left-Right coalition against "the Rockefeller-CIA cabal." Sadly, these Cau- soid Communists have hoodwinked a few ill-informed Conservatives into cooperating with them. The bait was a challenge to the validity of the 1976 Presidential election. The success of the L.P.'s ploy was described late last year by the Washington Post's William Chapman.

The Labor Party has also mounted a national fund-raising campaign among Republicans and prominent conservative organizations to finance its legal challenges to the presidential vote in four states — Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania and New York. Both the Republican National Committee and the Conservative National Committee financial support, but denied his fund raisers had misrepresented that committee's position. Although Pepper denied telling contributors the Labor Party had official Republican support, fund raisers have done so in some cases.

The U.S.L.P. newspaper states editorially that the party is working with alleged "sane forces in the Republican Party, the Conservative Party and the American Party." One may be reasonably certain that those involved had no idea that they were climbing into bed with a gang of Communists.

The pitch used by LaRouche's klan to seduce the unwary Conservative is to stress the need for a unified front to stop the Rockefeller-backed take-over. When confronted with the fact that the Labor Party is Marxist and Communist, the members usually do not deny it. But they respond that "pure Marxism" has never existed anywhere and that at this point in history capitalism is still a viable system. They claim to be Constitutionalists, and go so far as to assert that theoretical Marxism is really very close to the ideals of America's Founding Fathers. The Labor Party people have made a study of the words, phrases, and clichés to which Conservatives respond, and they make their approach sound like "Yankee Doodle Dandy" — even referring to their proposed united front as the "Whig Coalition."

Using this line, the N.C.L.C. reportedly raised from Republican Party circles almost half the ninety thousand dollars needed to finance a nationwide thirty-minute television show on N.B.C. the night before the 1976 election. The N.C.L.C. representatives called themselves conservatives and spoke of lofty goals, including the return of the nation to Republican

One dead giveaway to the purpose of the N.C.L.C. is its attitude toward the Soviet Union and other Communist countries. The Labor Party has never denounced the tyrants who rule the Soviet Union, Red China, Cuba, or any other Communist country. When your author interviewed a Labor Party official in Washington, D.C., he was assured: "The Soviet Union is the ultimate enemy of Rockefeller." This, of course, flies in the face of fifty years of history, and most especially the past decade in which the Rockefeller and the Soviets have been such open pals that Chase Manhattan actually has bank offices in Moscow at Number One Karl Marx Square. The Labor Party dismisses the era of détente, during which the Rockefellers have been the primary promoters of the Soviet-American partnership, by saying it is only the few irresponsible elements in the U.S.S.R who are manipulated by the Conspiracy.

How serious is this bizarre effort to co-opt and discredit the Conspiracy theory? In January 1974 the N.C.L.C. is known to have begun contact with the Soviet U.N. Mission. After that meeting, with a Russian diplomat who identified himself as Nikolai Leshkov, Soviet liaison with the N.C.L.C. was handled by Gennady Nikolaevich Serebryakov. While Serebryakov was officially listed as a "press officer" with the Mission, reliable sources identify him as a K.G.B. officer. Following meetings with the K.G.B., the N.C.L.C.'s Trotskyite line was dropped and replaced with a pro-Soviet position. Conservatives should take members of the N.C.L.C. at their word when they say they are Communists.

One of the most shady things about the N.C.L.C. is the source of its financing. The Caucus's budget is fifty thousand dollars a week. Party offices around the country are connected by multiple WATS lines which are also used for contacting Conservatives for fund solicitations. The Los Angeles office, alone, has three of these expensive telephone hookups. And the N.C.L.C. keeps in touch with its European affiliates through satellite-linked telecopiers. They have also been known to reprint their newspapers in as many as fifteen foreign languages.

The source of money for this multimillion-dollar venture is unknown. Each branch of the party is given a weekly quota of funds to raise. For example, an intelligence source reports that the Baltimore office is responsible for raising five thousand dollars a week. For the week in which the intelligence source saw the books, the take was exactly four dollars and fifty cents. Who puts up the rest of the money?

Oh, it gets curiouser and curiouser. The N.C.L.C. has borrowed eighty-six thousand dollars from the Rockefellers' Chase Manhattan Bank — a sum which, surprise, it has not repaid. Chase Manhattan says it is all a big mistake, that it meant to loan the N.C.L.C. eight thousand dollars on a secured loan, but by mistake the
computer spat out a check for eighty-nine thousand dollars instead. Did a bank ever make a mistake like that when you borrowed money? Not me neither. Naturally there are a few suspicious cynics who don’t think it was a mistake at all; who actually suppose that the Rockefellers are as usual financing agents provocateurs among their enemies with the idea of making legitimate criticism of their activities look ridiculous.

Certainly that sort of thing has happened before. In the latter part of the last century the Populist movement was catching on like wildfire across this country. The Populists were composed of farmers and laboring men who had a very unsophisticated view of economics but knew they were being robbed by the New York bankers. Then, as now, people were beginning to catch on to the game. And J.P. Morgan, the foremost financial manipulator of his day, took steps to head off this threat. As the late Professor Carroll Quigley observed on Page 938 of his important book *Tragedy And Hope*: “More than fifty years ago the Morgan firm decided to infiltrate the Left-wing political movements in the United States. This was relatively easy to do, since these groups were starved for funds and eager for a voice to reach the people. Wall Street supplied both.”

The Rockefellers are now unlikely to do so. Taking pains to look as nutty as possible in every other respect, the N.C.L.C. at the same time began courting anyone on the Right with whom it might establish a relationship.

Meanwhile, the Labor Party bombards the media with hysterical anti-Rockefeller propaganda which is largely a parody of carefully researched Americanist materials. It is an obvious attempt to make legitimate Americanist scholarship involving the Rockefellers seem absurd to keep the public from investigating far more serious charges. And this comes just at a time when Rockefeller control over the Carter Administration is so glaringly obvious that even such journals as *Harper’s*, *Atlantic*, and *Penthouse* are now catching on to the game — something the Insiders of the Conspiracy cannot afford.

The articles cited in Part One of this analysis last month, and the piece by Craig Karpel reviewed extensively at the beginning of this commentary, suggest that we have made a major breakthrough with our Conspiracy theory. But it would be childish to expect the Insiders simply to throw in the towel and give up years of work just as their drive for World Government nears fruition. They have never been so close to victory yet so completely in danger of losing everything. Already there are signs of serious splits in their ranks. Therefore Americanists must constantly be seeking converts from persons of good character at all points of the political spectrum. But no radical who is a member of an avowedly Communist party is a legitimate prospect. Such persons not only lack the character our movement requires but are either dangerously confused or enemy agents. Avoid them as you would a man with whiskey on his breath trying to sell autographed Bibles.  ■