How to profile the terrorist

U.S. Labor Party chief Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. dissects the support

"I must admit that the idea of evil, in certain cases, exerts a strong attraction on me. Above all, in the case of evil striking the authors of evil — e.g., the architects of imperialist policies and their hirelings. In this case, I nurture even sadistic dreams, but they remain dreams."

Herbert Marcuse, 1966

In this report we focus on the "left" facet of the terrorists' supporting political infrastructure, an infrastructure predominantly Maoist-Trotskyist-Communist-environmentalist in profession, anarchist in content of outlook, from which new recruits to terrorist gangs and their political supporters are chiefly drawn. We focus on the role performed by Bertrand Russell's networks, operating in conjunctures with witting elements of the Socialist International in various countries, including the USA. The work of Herbert Marcuse during the 1960s falls within the spectrum of witting creators of environmentalism-terrorism pursuing the mode otherwise best associated with Russell.

Although the U.S. Labor Party intelligence staff has, during recent years, developed political-intelligence competence concerning most aspects of terrorism and environmentalism, the most detailed and intensive knowledge of the development of "left" terrorists was developed by the National Caucus of Labor Committees, beginning with direct, persisting encounters with the promoters of terrorist and related developments, from 1968 onwards. The model case is our close study of the creation of the Weatherman terrorist organization through (principally) the guidance of the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) and IPS allies among U.S. and other elements associated with certain "left" currents of the Socialist International.

Background

The National Caucus of Labor Committees was the outgrowth of work begun in summer 1966 by this writer. After assembling an initiating group, the group deployed for political counteroperations against the forces controlling Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) and related "New Left" projects at that time. The method of operation was an educational program designed to selectively recruit and assimilate gifted undergraduates and graduate students attracted to "New Leftism," but with serious intellectual aspirations which, if fostered, would rationally separate them from the anarchist irrationalists of the "radical ferment" of that period.
For various reasons, Columbia University became a focal point of this operation. There, the group developed a strong factional position within SDS, becoming evenly matched in numerical strength with the “mushier” sort of “New Leftist,” with a trio of “crazies,” led by Mark Rudd and John Jacobs, representing the small, third element in the situation. Through advantages of superior political assessment and operational knowledge, the Labor Committee group preempted the SDS-led ferment on the Columbia campus, for a time capturing the leadership of the Columbia student strike, and maintaining a strong position in the ferment even after national SDS reinforcements and the Ford Foundation intervened.

This Labor Committee influence was significantly offset through, chiefly, three separate fundings of the campus forces by the Ford Foundation: one through a “black student” conduit, another through a direct Ford grant to an entity termed “Students for a Restructured University,” and a third to Mark Rudd, John Jacobs, and their associates, through a funding-conduit controlled by the Institute for Policy Studies. The IPS-funded group staged a provocative, fresh building occupation. The “strike” was demoralized and disbanded in consequence, and the Ford Foundation and other funding through the IPS-created conduit concentrated on assembling the anarchoid elements left in the wake of the campus ferment around Rudd and Jacobs.

It was this IPS-conducted funding and IPS shaping of the Rudd-Jacobs grouping which produced the Weatherman terrorist organization.

The residue of IPS and related operations in New York City during the spring and summer of 1968 was deployed during the fall of 1968, in an effort to create a race riot in New York City through exploitation of a provoked teachers’ strike. The Labor Committees deployed to neutralize this effort to create a race riot, leafletting and conducting political organizing at key points of the deployments intended to feed into the race-riot scenario. These surgically applied counteractions by an SDS-associated group produced both directly positive results and confusion and consternation among the forces being deployed for the race-riot scenario. Communication of vital intelligence concerning the scenario to the leadership of the United Federation of Teachers and to local groups of the UFT aided effective courses of action by UFT President Albert Shanker and others.

This teachers’ strike was a key phase in transforming elements of SDS into terrorists. The antilabor hysteria developed within the Communist Party, the Trotskyists, the Maoists, and the SDSers, all increasingly violent antilabor forces during that strike, broke the anarchist elements of SDS away from any orientation toward political organizing among labor forces, toward a Marat “Friends of the
The code phrase used in organizing the Baader-Meinhof assassination of Ponto was the epithet “Nazi Communist.”

People” terrorist profile, a profile that was in fact fascist in its social and political characteristics — the lumpen-anarchist profile of the slum elements of the Mussolini fascist organization or the Hitler brownsrits.

Within six months of further molding by IPS networks, the Rudd-Jacobs-Dohrn-centered forces were locked into the profile that characterized their subsequent terrorist operations.

In consequence of the fall 1968 operations in New York City, not only were the Labor Committees targeted for various degrees of harassment by every “left” organization involved in promoting the attempted race riot, but a pattern of covert operations by IPS and other organizations in intelligence-operations mode was deployed against the Labor Committees. The first use of an official governmental agency against the Labor Committees for covert operations was an FBI leaflet prepared and issued in support of Mark Rudd et al. during the fall of 1968. Since Kennedyite John Doar was most prominently orchestrating the effort to promote a race riot during that period, and since IPS is essentially a part of the Kennedy machine, the source of influence within the Justice Department-FBI for conducting an operation in support of Mark Rudd et al. is not a problem requiring much imagination.

The covert operations against the Labor Committees, later the U.S. Labor Party, and against Labor Party co-thinker organizations in various countries have escalated since 1968, involving British MI-5 in cooperation with IPS circles in an international operation during late 1973, and escalated to operations against the Labor Party and this writer reaching the highest levels of government in various parts of the world, the latter in cases where direct, corroborated evidence of such operations by Henry Kissinger and others has been established since fall 1975 events in Paris and West Germany.

Over the course of this decade, the writer and his associates have maintained a security-counterintelligence watch over “left” and other groups and their publications and operations, as well as developing a political intelligence-counterintelligence capability against the higher-level employers of these, among other groupings.

In consequence of this past decade’s work and experience, and with aid of resources going back much further in time, in respect to the “left” side of the development of environmentalist and terrorist gangs the writer and his associates know the internal features of the creation of the terrorist infrastructure from a vantage point not available to professional security agencies generally. We know how their minds work, are worked, and have been worked, and how they respond to the forms of directives and controlled psychological environment applied to them.

We shall now proceed by examining the role of certain “code phrases” in aiding a terrorist deployment, then examining the exemplary role of Bertrand Russell in developing strata manipulated by such code phrases, and finally examining the sources from which Russell and others obtained the knowledge through which they were able to predict the consequences of operations they conducted.

‘Nazi Communist’

The “code phrase” used in organizing the Baader-Meinhof assassination of Dresdner Bank’s Jürgen Ponto last year was the epithet “Nazi Communist.” Recently, in connection with this writer’s projected assassination, the same code phrase was dropped by an intelligence operative associated with Senator Jacob Javits, an operative who has been deployed against the U.S. Labor Party internationally for well over a year to date.

First, let us consider this peculiar epithet. How does such a wild epithet serve in motivating the general deployment of terrorist supporters around the assassination of a terrorist target? If one knows the doctrine of Winston Churchill, Hugh Trevor-Roper, and John Wheeler-Bennett, the doctrine they set forth in defending their preference for Adolf Hitler to the insurgent German generals of 1938 and during the war, one knows that the British not only have a special hatred for German industrialists and the old Catholic Center Party of Germany, but that the British, who created Hitler, saw fit to accomplish two objectives with one argument, the argument that Nazism was a product of German industry.

Although the myth that German industrialism created Hitler is in wide circulation, the myth has strong primary emotional significance only in two primary circles: British intelligence circles and a certain section of Zionist circles. In Zionist circles it serves as a reaction-formation in respect to Warburg backing of Hjalmar Schacht, and of Schacht’s placing Hitler in the Chancellory, and of various Rothschild, Oppenheimer, and other involvement in supporting Hitler before and after 1933, into the 1936-1938 period.

This emotionally laden identification of German industrialism as being axiomatically “Nazism” spills over from British intelligence and certain Zionist circles into elements of the anarchist-environmentalist ultra-left, especially to those layers surrounding and including “left” terrorist gangs. In these circles, “Nazi” means essentially “German industrialism,” and is extendable to any proindustrial, protech-
A basic insight into paranoid psychosis helps to understand the mechanisms involved in creating terrorists and terrorist support groups.

financial and political operations of British-Canadian intelligence. It will also intersect, probably, some of those elements of other organizations that are also complicit in terrorism, such as the International Red Cross, Amnesty International, the World Council of Churches, the World Federalist Movement, the Mont Pelerin Society, and so forth.

One of the complicating factors is that most of the intelligence-operations covers used for penetration operations into Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union are also covers used for international terrorism — the security cover afforded for one purpose provides exceptional quality of protection for terrorist operations.

Thus, although the French and U.S. intelligence-operations divisions of international B’nai B’rith, the Ligue Internationale Contre l’Antisemitisme (LICA) and the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), are both important conduits for regular intelligence-assassination and terrorist operations or support of terrorism operations (surveillance, etc.), a certain amount of operational gridding is required to separate variously the honest, the innocent, the dupes, and the witting criminals within the leadership and ranks of such bodies.

However, do not imagine that B’nai B’rith operations in Basel, Switzerland or other related entities in Geneva, Switzerland, or certain post-office accounts in Liechtenstein are not directly, wittingly, and massively involved in terrorist operations, or that some key persons within the LICA and ADL are not very bloody-handed criminals.

The Israeli government’s current, cynical alliance with the Nazi-created, Nazi-led (Gemayel) Falange of Lebanon has removed the fear of the “anti-Semitic” slander from many official mouths of governments and intelligence services. The Israeli-Zionist crimes in connection with international terrorism and other matters are currently in the process of being blown publicly in many quarters. Otherwise, many quarters are at the end of their toleration of Mossad-coordinated terrorism against key figures of their nations; the fact of Zionist coordination of international terrorism will be kept discreetly unmentioned no longer.

Consider the second sentence in the Marcuse quote cited at the outset of this report.

If we eliminate the middle sentence, and consider only the last, what is in fact an accurate reflection of Marcuse’s mind comes forth. The middle sentence
 veggies. They are linguistical empty buckets out as a target for "sadistic dreams" of "evil" against Intelligence enterprises.

Kenneth Colby obtained in attempting to program Chomskyian linguistics taking has been fairly general over about two decades. The Rand Corporation has been preoccupied with this sort of thing. Kenneth Colby's results are exemplary of what must inevitably occur in any qualified such effort at computer simulation of a hypothetical mind. This sort of undertaking has been fairly general over about two decades, under the auspices of the so-called Artificial Intelligence enterprises.

Marvin Minsky at MIT, the establishment at which Professor Chomsky also squats, has been bumbling about with that for nigh two decades. The Rand Corporation has been preoccupied with this sort of thing. Kenneth Colby's results are exemplary of what must inevitably occur in any qualified such effort at computer simulation of a hypothetical mind. Colby found he was able to program a simulation of a psychotic, but not a sane person. This is necessarily the case, because any human being whose thought-processes are conditioned to agreement with the linguistics doctrine is thus transformed into a psychotic.

Consider the case of Ulrike Meinhof. Can the statements of Ulrike Meinhof be placed upon a computer and shown to conform to the sort of patterns Colby produced? Absolutely. The "second generation" of the Baader-Meinhof gang came from graduates of the Heidelberg Patients' Collective, an experimental program using mental patients, in which the patients were indoctrinated according to an R.D. Laing-Tavistock schizophrenia-reenforcing program and also, as part of the program, assigned to develop the capability to produce bombs and other weapons.

Consider the case of the recent trial of the Italian Red Brigades group. These are psychotics, the end-product of a Trento University project associated with Socialist Party of Italy Lombardian Alberoni (now in an influential editorial position at Corriere della Sera). The Trento program was a social-work "brain-washing" program. In the case of the development of the Weathermen as a terrorist group, not only was there irrationalist anarchist indoctrination, largely under the guidance of IPS affiliates, but "sensitivity sessions," degraded sexual practices, and emphasis on use of LSD as well as marijuana and other drugs were dominant features of the conditioning process.

The rock-drug counterculture, including sexually and otherwise degraded communal living conditions, is the general rule among the strata from which terrorists are recruited.

In the production of terrorists in Europe, a tracing of networks from the Low Countries into Longo May terrorist-training camp showed the following process.

The intake process began with a leftist-environmentalist profiling and recruitment, in this case under the auspices of Amnesty International and the top leadership of the social-democratic party of Holland. The first point of intake in this case was a camp in Belgium. The term of stay was in the order of weeks, with drugs, the rock culture, and wife-swapping approximately the level of specialties, apart from "leaderless group" approaches to deeper political indoctrination.

The second principal stage was a group of camps in France, near the Pyrenees. This involved a months-long term of stay, with heavy usage of drugs and sexual degradation, as well as Tavistockian conditioning methods.

The third stage, for selected persons from the Pyrenees camps, was Longo May itself. Longo May is the first point at which the person on that track actually began to receive terrorist basic training in weapons use and so forth, with instruction given by ex-Legionnaires and professional criminals. The "environmentalist" communalism of Longo May is merely a cover for the basic activity of the camp, which is terrorist "basic training" combined with further conditioning and profiling.

The next stage is intake into a terrorist group, with further training at another camp anywhere in the Mediterranean region, including Israel. There is still a possibility the recruit may be "washed out." Graduates become hard-core terrorists.

It should be noted that this intake-process for screening of potential terrorist recruits is identical with the development of the hard-core of the environmentalist movement. The terrorists are distilled from the environmentalist, Maoist movements, primarily, or recruited from among prison inmates, largely through either in-prison "therapy" programs or through "half-way house" programs. A convict with a preestablished propensity for crimes of violence and a potential psychosis is the most easily-deployed, expendable sort of recruit to terrorist and related operations.

Neither the terrorist nor the typical terrorist-support group in any way corresponds to the myth of the "idealist" misled into excesses in one way or another. Both, apart from the fully conscious controllers amid them, are criminalized psychotics or semipsychotics, either selected because of those pre-existing qualities, or because various phases of conditioning bring about these states.

A basic insight into paranoid psychosis helps to understand the mechanisms. In the sort of psychotic...
who is suitable for coordinated terrorist operations, the individual is able to perform functions involving his immediate person and environment. He can function. Where his psychosis shows most clearly is in his inability to cope sanely with abstractions concerning the world in general. In other words, he lives at best within a very small world, a world defined by a short span of social distance surrounding his or her person. He functions within the scope of a "surrogate family," more or less as a disturbed but functionally effective two-to-four-year old might — to be more exact, an adolescent or adult parody of such a two-to-four-year-old condition. The world outside his or her "family" is not real. Everything in the larger, outside world is a matter of emotions cathexized to labels. His or her outer-world behavior is biased toward the schizophrenic-symbolic, rather than the real. His sense of that world of symbols is magical, not cause-and-effect. He or she carries the infantile superstition of the believer in astrology toward an extreme.

Now, if such a person once agrees that "bad" people ought to die, "so that they can't hurt good people," and if the person is also dedicated to killing the "bad" people himself, it suffices — to make the point short — to insert a face, a name, and so forth in place of the symbol "bad," and say "We must kill that bad person," and there you have the gist of it.

Exemplary, this writer once watched John Jacobs (later of the Weatherman terrorist group) give an address to an audience in the vicinity of the Columbia University campus. It was in September 1968. Jacobs said nothing throughout the entire peroration of 20 minutes or so. It was a string of phrases without syntax, without coherence. A stream of single-word and several-word-phrase slogans were strung in the air like beads on a wire, with an ejaculated "Mao," "Che," or some other code-name interpolated randomly, without rhyme or reason.

It happened, of course, that the future Weatherman group and its immediate coterie were already heavy users of marijuana, LSD, and so forth. Just as the writer has sometimes witnessed "schizophrenic" conversation between mental patients of that sort, Jacobs and his clique seemed well satisfied with his "speech."

I had encountered Jacobs about a year earlier, in April 1967. At that point he had a few manifest problems under way, but was otherwise normal, and capable of dealing rationally with abstractions. At the close of the summer of 1967, close observers remarked on a strange deterioration in his personality. By September 1968, he had lost the power to make even a brief coherent presentation of a concept to an audience. The conditioning and the drugs had done their work.

In the case of the terms of the middle sentence of the Marcuse quotation, the phrase "authors of evil" is not peculiar to the audience being addressed. Any rabid environmentalist might wish to sadistically torture and kill a student specializing in nuclear physics — as a person becoming, to the twisted environmentalist mind, an "author of evil" in the future. What is specific to the period and the audience is Marcuse's use of "architects of imperialist policies." "Their hirelings" is generic.

In the case of the use of "Nazi Communist" by a terrorist or terrorist controller, the code phrase has an attributable "I.D. format" which admittedly does not provide conclusive findings concerning the terrorist coordinators or specific groups being deployed, but gives strong indications most helpful to the investigator.

In the case of the writer, during August 1977 he was informed by a major intelligence agency that he was the next selection on the list to be killed by the Baader-Meinhof (later of the Weatherman terrorist group) give an address to an audience in the vicinity of the Columbia University campus. It was in September 1968. Jacobs said nothing throughout the entire peroration of 20 minutes or so. It was a string of phrases without syntax, without coherence. A stream of single-word and several-word-phrase slogans were strung in the air like beads on a wire, with an ejaculated "Mao," "Che," or some other code-name interpolated randomly, without rhyme or reason.

It happened, of course, that the future Weatherman group and its immediate coterie were already heavy users of marijuana, LSD, and so forth. Just as the writer has sometimes witnessed "schizophrenic" conversation between mental patients of that sort, Jacobs and his clique seemed well satisfied with his "speech."

I had encountered Jacobs about a year earlier, in April 1967. At that point he had a few manifest problems under way, but was otherwise normal, and capable of dealing rationally with abstractions. At the close of the summer of 1967, close observers remarked on a strange deterioration in his personality. By September 1968, he had lost the power to make even a brief coherent presentation of a concept to an audience. The conditioning and the drugs had done their work.

In the case of the terms of the middle sentence of the Marcuse quotation, the phrase "authors of evil" is not peculiar to the audience being addressed. Any rabid environmentalist might wish to sadistically torture and kill a student specializing in nuclear physics — as a person becoming, to the twisted environmentalist mind, an "author of evil" in the future. What is specific to the period and the audience is Marcuse's use of "architects of imperialist policies." "Their hirelings" is generic.

In the case of the use of "Nazi Communist" by a terrorist or terrorist controller, the code phrase has an attributable "I.D. format" which admittedly does not provide conclusive findings concerning the terrorist coordinators or specific groups being deployed, but gives strong indications most helpful to the investigator.

In the case of the writer, during August 1977 he was informed by a major intelligence agency that he was the next selection on the list to be killed by the Baader-Meinhof group. Three nations' intelligence agencies provided the writer with a good "steel shield," and with other measures, including political countermeasures; the Baader-Meinhof group passed that one over for the moment. It was not their decision, of course. Their controllers called it off because the political command estimated the political penalty of the attack to exceed the benefits of the writer's earlier demise. So, the writer was passed over, and the gang proceeded on with its list, to industrialist Hanns-Martin Schleyer.

The motive for assassination of this writer is no secret. Those elements of the British-Zionist-Maltese-Socialist International interface that control environmentalism and international terrorism have made no secret of their motive. The writer is accused of being a significant conceptual contributor to the overall effort associated with the Bremen European Community agreements of July 1978.

What triggered this reaction by London forces against the writer was not the actual Bremen 1978 EC "summit," but the May 1978 Schmidt-Brezhnev accords. The London forces knew at that point that Brezhnev's agreements afforded France's Giscard and West Germany's Schmidt the preconditions for proceeding with the European Monetary Fund proposal during July. Hence, the operations against this writer, projecting his early assassination, were set into motion during May 1978, during the same period as Queen Elizabeth's extended tour of West Germany. Each element of preparation for the renewal of the assassination project (after its suspension in September 1977) went into motion during May 1978, with additional elements coming into place during June 1978 and July 1978. After misfires during July 1978, the project has been kept active.

Under these conditions, the "Nazi Communist" epithet targeting the writer for assassination coincides with heavy nationwide ADL surveillance added to that of the Michigan Communist Labor Party circles and others. The connection to Jacob Javits's circles pins the matter down further. It is either intended to be a straight Mossad professional
dreams" carries with it an unrecorded wink; the wink is there, recorded or not.

assassination or a terrorist operation coordinated by Zionist-Mossad terrorist controllers. In that latter case, it is only necessary to know that the Mossad coordinates both "left" and "extreme right" terrorist gangs, but that "Nazi Communist" is a more suitable code-phrase for "left" terrorist gangs.

"Architects of imperialist policies" in Marcuse's 1966 usages is a preconditioning of the "left" for support of terrorist operations. It picks up a term which has rational pejorative meaning within the "left," "imperialist," and lifts that term out of reality, retaining only the emotional charge associated with the term, and then reenforcing that emotional charge by licensing "sadistic dreams" consistent with delight in the "idea of evil."

The final qualifier, "but they remain dreams," must not be taken as reassuring. One must examine the case of Jean-Paul Sartre — the "Marquise de Sartre" — and such correlative cases as Herman Hesse's Steppenwolf, or the symbolic seduction of Soren Kierkegaard. These and other existentialist writers are the spiritual architects of the terrorist movement. Camus and Fanon are also exemplary. The "Old Fagins" of international terrorism, the Sartres and the Marcuses, merely "dream" — they assure us. Is that so in fact?

In the development of the Weathermen, the first Marcuse intervention into the Columbia campus during the spring of 1968 was blocked by the Labor Committees. The Labor Committees knew what that creature was and wished no part of his dirty doings in a sensitive situation. However, Marcuse relative and protege Tom Newman did appear on campus, as controller of an IPS funding conduit, the East Side Service Organization, which funded both the Rudd-Jacobs group and a nappy East Side anarchist group styling itself as "Up Against the Wall Motherfuckers." The latter group performed a key part in shaping the development of the Rudd group itself. This was funded, of course, by the Ford Foundation.

More broadly significant was Herbert Marcuse's One-Dimensional Man. This book perhaps contributed more than any other single literary source to developing the later environmentalist and terrorist forces in the United States. It is exemplary of the way in which rational content was emptied from terms such as "imperialist," leaving the emptied word, with only its emotional cathexes, emotion intensified, to be filled with whatever the controllers deployed within the new Left elected to fill this empty term with on one occasion or another.

Did Sartre only "dream"? On the contrary, he is a chief public political ally of international terrorism. He made no objection to the realization of his sadistic, evil "dreams." Marcuse's "but they remain dreams" carries with it an unrecorded wink; the wink is there, recorded or not.

Bertrand Russell

If we are obliged to trace present-day environmentalism and terrorism back to any one source in this century, that person is the late Bertrand Russell. The image of Russell the ultra-liberal, the peevish, eccentric gadfly and pacifist, is essentially a "cover story."

Bertrand was the grandson of Lord John Russell and the godson of John Stuart Mill. Those antecedents are of decisive importance for understanding Bertrand's career and his direct connection to the development of the environmentalist and terrorist gangs of today.

Lord John Russell, together with the evil Palmerston, is aptly, essentially characterized by the act of rug-chewing he performed on receiving the news that Czar Alexander would go to war immediately against England and France if those nations went to war against the United States. That was the year 1863. Since the inauguration of British puppet President Andrew Jackson, Britain had consistently worked to subvert the United States, preparatory to splitting off the Confederacy and reducing a balkanized North American continent to an easy British reconquest. Abraham Lincoln had defeated the Confederacy in fact even before Gettysburg. London was faced with the choice of intervening militarily, with support of its puppet Napoleon III, or writing off a 30 years' venture. It consolated itself by subsequently assassinating President Lincoln.

In the wake of the 1783 victory of the United States, a new cabal had seized control of George III's government, a cabal representing a new policy for both defeating U.S. allies on the European continent and subsequently reconquering the United States. This cabal was headed by Lord Shelburne, the spokesman for the most powerful financial interest of the City of London, the British East India Company.

Shelburne consolidated the work of the Edinburgh division of British Secret Intelligence Service. This was the division formerly headed by David Hume, for which Adam Smith wrote his fraudulent, colonialist doctrine and slander against Jean-Baptiste Colbert, The Wealth of Nations. It was against the policies of The Wealth of Nations that the United States went to war against Britain; it was in opposition to those policies that the U.S. Constitution was defined; and it was those policies that the dirigist prodevelopment policies of the Washington and Adams Administra-
tions were directed to defeat. A principal successor to Hume at Edinburg was the feudalist utopian, Sir Walter Scott. On the London side of British intelligence dirty operations, Shelburne protégé Jeremy Bentham was key.

Since Shelburne was too notoriously corrupt to occupy the position of Prime Minister, Shelburne bought, once again, that most corrupt of legislatures, the British Parliament, and installed his protégé William Pitt the Younger as Prime Minister. Within the same general collection, together with Bentham, was James Mill. Reverend Malthus was also a creature of the same cabal, as was Malthus’s collaborator, David Ricardo.

Canning, Palmerston, and Lord John Russell were the continuers of Shelburne, Bentham and Pitt during the post-Napoleonic period. They were dedicated to reconquest of the United States, as Pitt had been, not merely to recover the territory, but because the very existence of the United States with its successful, dirigist policies of national credit and fostering of technological and scientific progress represented an influence and an example which threatened the oligarchist order everywhere.

John Stuart Mill was the successor to Bentham and James Mill in shaping the psychological-warfare and dirty operations policies of British intelligence. Although Bertrand was loyal to the common, anti-American traditions of both his grandfather and godfather throughout his entire life, it was to the profession of his godfather, John Stuart Mill, that Bertrand’s choice of career in the British intelligence service was bent.

Bertrand Russell came up through the Cambridge side of British intelligence — in the track of Newton — and served his young-adult apprenticeship as a British Hegelian. In the characteristic doublespeak of Britain, a British Hegelian is a specialist in attracting and destroying any young minds that show an interest in Hegel. Russell’s first notable activity was in contributing to the effort to confuse and suppress the currents of “continental science” erupting in the wake of the work of Cauchy, Dirichlet, Weierstrass, Riemann, Cantor, and Klein. To this end he parodied the work of a minor German number-theory specialist, producing a clever but incompetent piece of logic, later incorporated, with the collaboration of Alfred North Whitehead, in their famous *Principia Mathematica*.

Before Russell dropped interest in scientific subjects, in the course of the 1920s, he also professed himself one of the rare few intellectuals to comprehend the program of Albert Einstein and Hermann Weyl in relativistic physics. Russell’s wretched edifications were too much for the stomach of Ernst Cassirer, who explained the obvious side of the fraud at some length; even Albert Einstein, then dependent upon British patronage, barely suppressed his sense of outrage in a foreword to a Russell book on the topic.

Pacifism was never a matter of conviction with Bertrand Russell; it was a special cover for intelligence operations.

Before Russell dropped interest in science, other interests had developed apace. The dropping of the one, during the late 1920s, was the occasion to give stress to the other.

The Stuart Mill influence on British intelligence operations ran to the social-work side of insurgency and counterinsurgency methods. The creation of the Salvation Army by Cunard’s Booth, the emergence of the Settlement House movement, the creation of the international anarchist-terrorist organization around Bakunin, and the emergence of the Fabian Society on the initiative of British intelligence agent George Bernard Shaw and Shaw’s protégé Sidney Webb, are all Mill.

The London Rothschilds were also key to this, as they were directly or indirectly key to Bertrand Russell’s activities throughout his later life. A proper appreciation of the emergence of the Rothschilds and other London Jewish-name banking houses during the late 18th and early 19th centuries, and the Rothschild, and later Warburg, colonizing of the United States with their correspondents, were in large measure a reflection of London political and other influences into Germany, especially through the House of Hanover (Guelph) and its Hessian allies. Since the greater and pettier baronies had the custom of using tame “house-Jews,” or “court Jews” (*Hofjuden*), as their always politically vulnerable bankers, the more able, or more feral, such *Hofjuden* sooner or later became part of the City of London.

They never became the independent power which anti-Semitic admirers of the Cecils, Russells, and so forth would like to imagine. The Jewish banker survived in anti-Semitic England precisely to the extent he made himself most extraordinarily useful to the British monarchy, and to the Cecils, Russells, and so forth. Nathan Rothschild made himself most useful to the British monarchy’s interests, most useful to Wellington, and most, most useful to Lord John Russell. With the rising power of Baron James Rothschild in post-Napoleon Bonaparte France, with a branch in Frankfurt, in Naples, and a delightful looting and wrecking of the Spanish peseta, the Rothschilds gained great financial and political power, and a conspicuous place within the circles of London financial houses allied against the human race with the parasitical old aristocratic landlord families of England.
Cecil Rhodes was a Rothschild protégé. A Rothschild employee, Lord Milner, aided by a titled Rothschild, Lord Roseberry, took the lead in a drastic reorganization of British policy and British intelligence at the turn of the present century. All the Rothschilds, together with the British monarchy, and the Cecils, Churchills, Russells, and so forth, joined in a common determination to subvert and conquer the United States in one way or another, to end once and for all the dirigist republicanism that made the United States great.

There were other notable British families—the Chamberlains, for example. The Chamberlains, at one point prime funders of the Fabian Society, contributed to British intelligence the Houston Chamberlain who, toward the close of his life, approved Adolf Hitler's grooming as head of the Nazi Party, and encouraged his collaborator, Karl Haushofer, who later wrote Hitler's *Mein Kampf* (with the aid of Haushofer aide, Rudolf Hess). The family also contributed Hitler admirer Neville Chamberlain, the man who carried the umbrella to Munich in 1938—and almost ruined the umbrella business forever, for reason of the disgust which soon ensued.

In the course of things, as Lord Milner reorganized British intelligence coordination around the newly created Round Table organization, and established, with Fabian leader Webb, the geopolitical policy (which caused two world wars) as British policy to the present date, Bertrand Russell intersected the Fabian Society and became a pacifist.

Russell abandoned that profession of pacifism (publicly) only during one interval, the late 1940s, when he campaigned for a preventive nuclear war against the Soviet Union. Once the Soviets had developed an operational H-bomb first, Berrie dropped the "preventive nuclear war" proposal and launched his "ban the bomb" movement.

Russell's World War I pacifism has direct bearing on his later role in developing the foundations for the environmentalist and terrorist gangs of the 1970s.

Pacifism was never a matter of conviction with Russell, nor with most of the pacifist promoters. It was a special sort of cover for intelligence operations. Once the usefulness of this cover was recognized, the British Friends Service Committee was developed, together with the American Friends Service Committee. Each of the religious-pacifist groups was explored for similar possibilities. The creation of entities such as the American Friends Service Committee not only established more numerous intelligence covers, but gave better credibility to the nonreligious pacifist entities, and also provided opportunities to dupe unwitting members of the Society of Friends and other religious groups into helping defray the operating costs of British intelligence.

Notable is the case of Karl Korsch, a close Russell associate in the 1930s in the USA—in such projects as developing the subversive linguistics program which Noam Chomsky represents today.

Karl Korsch, early a political operative for a German industrial firm (Zeiss), was awarded a two-year training program in England by the Fabian Society prior to World War I. He returned a Fabian operative and a pacifist. As a pacifist he was commissioned in German military intelligence throughout the war. At the close of the war, Korsch set up a famous, most effective intelligence operation for the British in Weimar Germany, successfully penetrating the Communist Party of Germany, while also playing a crucial role in creating the so-called Frankfurt School. Korsch's operations, under which Sidney Hook received his intelligence training in Europe, were notable in their penetration of the Soviet Union, linking up to former Parvus sub-agents G. Riazanov and N. Bukharin. (Bukharin at the time was an agent of Royal Dutch Shell-Samuel family intelligence operations—while within the Soviet leadership.) Sidney Hook had a collateral role in these doings, together with war-time pacifist Eduard Bernstein (also recruited to the British intelligence service by the Fabian Society) and others. The "right
opposition" of the Communist International (Bukharinites, Brandler, Lovestone, et al.) was a British intelligence operation which Korsch intersected at that time.

After launching the "Third Camp" movement, Korsch dropped out of politics, and began working on the development of linguistics with figures such as Carnap. After reaching the United States, Korsch worked closely with Dr. Kurt Lewin, of MIT and later Ann Arbor. He also worked with Bertrand Russell, Carnap and others, in introducing linguistics to the University of Pennsylvania and other locations.

Noam Chomsky today is not only a Korschite in respect of linguistics, but is an ultra-left Korschite in politics, and in maintaining the intelligence-operative traditions of Korsch. The "Trotskyist" "Fourth International" of Ernest Mandel et al. is also closely interfaced with the old Korschite British intelligence circles in Germany, and with allied intelligence circles in France and Belgium. Mandel's German career, intersecting at one point the sponsorship of former British intelligence operative Richard Loewenthal and close collaboration with Peter Graf von Oertzen, is also heavily interlocked with those

French circles in Germany, and with allied intelligence circles in France and Belgium. Mandel's German career, intersecting at one point the sponsorship of former British intelligence operative Richard Loewenthal and close collaboration with Peter Graf von Oertzen, is also heavily interlocked with those

Socialist International Zionist node-points in West Germany to which both the "left" and terrorist and environmentalist support are linked. He is linked to similar connections in France.

During World War I, pacifism was the principal cover for important intelligence transmission between England and Germany, connecting through safe-house arrangements in Scandinavia.

To comprehend this one must note British geopolitical policy in two world wars to date and in British projections for World War III. The target of British strategic objectives is the so-called "Eurasian heartland"—i.e., either the conquest or destruction of Russia. Britain rigged World War I, from 1866, with the primary intention that Germany should go eastward (only) to break up Russia to the glory and profit of the City of London. The Wittelsbachs (Bavaria), virtual British puppets, and key Anglophile elements of the German Imperial Court would have accepted that gladly, but republican-industrial impulses intersecting the German Army demanded also a march westward—against England, not necessarily France—according to the anti-British strategy of the Schlieffen Plan.

British intelligence shopped Anglo-Dutch superagent Parvus to the German General Staff, with the German government paying Parvus upwards of thirty million marks during the war for Parvus's consultations and Parvus's Scandinavia-centered operations against Russia. The "Parvus Plan" for whose manuscript-form the German government advanced a down-payment of one million marks, was nothing but the geopolitical plan of Milner, Mackinder—and Bavarian General Haushofer.

Parvus's special qualifications were several. He had played a key role for British intelligence in the 1905 Russian Revolution with the aid of talented dupe L.D. Trotsky, had performed to British satisfaction in the Balkan operations, and had a number of "left" figures on his string, including his subagents Karl Radek, G. Riazanov, and N. Bukharin. It happened that the same Lenin whom Parvus shipped east— with three million marks tucked into Radek's purse, its source unknown to Lenin—outwitted all the intelligence services who had rigged the February Revolution and thought they had the sequelae under control. The British were decidedly not pleased, and what displeased Britain displeased Colonel House, whose prize puppet was Woodrow Wilson.

The intelligence operations between Britain and Germany during World War I, including the pacifist courtesy channels and joint British-German operations along the Scandinavian "Northern Route" into Russia, were not quite of the sort one would ordinarily imagine between two adversary powers.

The parallel to pacifist covers for intelligence operations is, most notably, the International Red Cross. The International Red Cross was a British-controlled operation of the Hospitaller Knights of St.
The most direct source for developing the later environmentalist and terrorist movements was Russell’s “ban the bomb” campaign.

John of Jerusalem, deployed from the same Swiss base where Maltese Order agent Necker and his daughter, the Madame de Staël, had deployed to wreck France before and after the French Revolution of 1789. Under the cover of humanitarian work, intelligence operations gained access to otherwise inaccessible places, and gained the logistical and other means for accomplishing assassinations and other operations otherwise difficult to cover. Black Maltese forces use the Red Cross for such operations to this day, including terrorist operations. Amnesty International is a different sort of case, with similar functions and an important place in environmentalist and terrorist operations.

There is, as we shall show, a deep conviction governing Russell’s conduct over decades. This conviction has no direct connection to what credulous people believe concerning the wretched fellow. In terms of science, pacifism, and “love of people,” Bertrand Russell was pure fraud and willful deception.

Russell’s prominence as a leading British intelligence operative came after World War I. His trips to the Orient, his visits to Bolshevik Russia, and so forth are only indications of the broader nature of his activities. The key to his activities of the 1920s, the commitment to which he devoted the remainder of his life, was outlined as a sort of thesis during that decade. He proposed the development of mass drugging of populations as a method of social control (e.g., heroin and methadone maintenance, “decriminalization” of marijuana and other dangerous, psychotropic drugs—as the man said, putting on his brassiere, “Marijuana has no special effects”), an end to basic scientific progress, and the modification of the use of language to provide methods of mass social manipulation (linguistics).

The other elements of the operation were either picked up chiefly by Aldous Huxley.

The promotion of linguistics was chiefly the work of intelligence operatives Russell and Korsch, with Carnap tagging along to perform the kitchenwork.

The Solvay Conference is the centerpiece of the campaign to halt the progress of fundamental scientific research, together with the hideous operations deployed by British intelligence against Erwin Schroedinger and Louis de Broglie.

This bit of futurology by Russell followed the line of British intelligence’s H.G. Wells, and Russell’s vision of the future society was variously expressed by Aldous Huxley’s Brave New World and by British intelligence operative George Orwell’s Animal Farm and 1984.

The most direct source for developing the later environmentalist and terrorist movements was Russell’s “ban the bomb” campaign, with its SANE complement in the USA. This campaign overlapped a Socialist International project in behalf of British intelligence, a campaign that involved British-Zionist interfaces in Germany, and such entities as the League for Industrial Democracy and elements of the United Auto Workers (UAW) bureaucracy in the USA. This latter project was underway in 1958, set into motion in the launching of the “New Left” turn of German SDS and the subsequent evolution of U.S. SDS out of the combined funnels of pro-Cuba, antinuclear, and white campus civil-rights groups.

Key elements in the U.S. side of the New Left project of British intelligence were the formation of the Institute for Policy Studies as a private, neo-Fabian intelligence-operations and think-tank organization in 1963—with Thurman Arnold and others launching this as a branch of the Kennedy machine, and the Robert Hutchins project, the “Triple Revolution” thesis declaring the doctrine of a “post-industrial society.” Marcuse contributed significantly to this process.

The key to the New Left project was the Vietnam War. The fact is that the British and U.S. British agents-of-influence pushed the United States into that war, forced the United States to stay in it (1964-1968) and used the war as a backdrop against which to create the antiwar movement. The Bertrand Russell War Crimes Tribunal, although nominally only a marginal part of the overall antiwar activity, was a key shaping element within that process.

In that sense, environmentalism and terrorism all trace back, through the most witting controllers within the process, to organizers and controllers who were predominantly screened, developed, and selected out of the “ban the bomb” movement and operations feeding into the Bertrand Russell activities of the 1960’s, the Bertrand Russell Tribunal and the “Workers’ Control” gag.

The other elements of the operation were either associated with the British intelligence nest at the University of Chicago (Hutchins et al.), or with hardcore intelligence operatives linked to and coordinated under the cover of the Socialist International. Into this structure the Zionist organizations penetrated sideways, working either through such means as Rothschild penetration of the top leaderships of the Communist and Socialist parties of France, or the general interface between the Zionist intelligence organizations and elements within the Socialist International.
Bertrand Russell’s Dedication

Bertrand Russell’s essential commitment was that of an Aristotelean British aristocrat. This does not mean Aristotelean in the sense the ingenuous, college-educated reader usually imagines. It means a person who has been indoctrinated at Cambridge to know that the real meaning of Aristotelean is the unbroken continuity of the cult of Apollo, under various guises, from the Persian Empire down to the present date. It means a person, educated at Cambridge, who knows the distinction between Aristotle’s dedication, as a leading figure of the Persian intelligence service, and those writings which Aristotle affixed his name to in attempted service of the evil cause to which he was dedicated.

The continuity of the cult of Apollo is important to this point.

There are “black nobility” families of Rome whose families and family political traditions trace back to the Roman republic. These are evil families, who have maintained an unbroken tradition of evil over two thousand years. The republic and empire under which their ancestors lived was in turn controlled by the Rome branch of the cult of Apollo. That cult was during that time, invariably, the chief, usurious debt-farming institution of the Mediterranean region, a political intelligence service, and both a cult and a creator of cults.

From the death of Alexander the Great until the cult of Apollo dissolved itself into the cult of Stoicism it had created during the second century BC, the base of the cult was Ptolemaic Egypt, from which the cult controlled Rome. In Egypt, the cult of Apollo syncretized the cult of Isis and Osiris as the direct imitation of the Phrygian cult of Dionysus and the Roman imitation, the cult of Bacchus. It was there that the cult of Stoic irrationalism was created by the cult of Apollo. It was the cult of Apollo which created the Roman Empire, which created Roman law on the basis of the antihumanistic Aristotelean Nicomachean Ethics. That is the tradition which the old, “black” Roman families transmit.

This tradition persisted under various institutional covers, always preserving the essential world-outlook and doctrine intact. The British monarchy, the parasitical aristocratic landlord class of Britain, and the British-dominated, feudalist factions of the Maltese Order are the modern, concentrated expression of the unbroken tradition and policies of the ancient cult of Apollo.

That is what a Cambridge Aristotelean really signifies. A true Aristotelean is a modern priest of the cult of Isis and Osiris, a priest of the cult of Apollo.

The ruling social composition of the Apollo, or oligarchical, faction has been, over thousands of years to this day, an alliance between a parasitical aristocratic landlord class and a financial aristocracy of bankers dedicated to usurious tax-farming in the debt of governments, to looting society through a fixed tax on ground rent.

Adam Smith, apart from being a liar and an enemy of the United States, is a doctrinaire of ground rent. Smith’s doctrine of “free trade,” or what the profeudalist Mont Pelerin Society currently terms “free enterprise,” is founded on one essential principle: ground-rent economy. In modern times, this assumes the form of attempting to extract ground rent from industry as feudalists extract ground rent from agriculture. The doctrine that the prime source of wealth is natural resources is another reflection of the same feudalist, Aristotelean doctrine.

The Aristotelean knows that generalized scientific and technological progress, given the conditions of education and liberty of innovation which progress demands, produces in the citizen a dedication to the creative potentials of the human mind which is antithetical to the oligarchical system.

What the Aristoteleans have hated and feared down through the millennia is their knowledge that persistent, generalized scientific and technological progress, as the dirigist policy of society, means a republican hegemony which ends forever the possibility of establishing oligarchical world-rule.

The object of Bertrand Russell, like Lord John Russell before him, and Shelburne, Bentham, and Pitt before, was to crush what the American Revolution, the Federalists, Whigs, and Lincoln represented: a republican force dedicated to generalized scientific and technological progress. Russell resorted to the same methods used by the ancient priests of Apollo—the promotion of Dionysian cults of drug-cultures, orgiastic-erotic countercultures, deranged mobs of “machine-breakers” and terrorist maniacs—to turn such a combined force of demented rabble against those forces in society that are dedicated to scientific and technological progress.

Russell’s objective, on behalf of British intelligence, was to wreck Western civilization from within, and to promote projects whose historically demonstrated effect is to wreck such disruption and destruction.

To break the supporting infrastructure of terrorism, it is indispensable to forcibly eradicate the use of
marijuana and other drugs among the population, to crush all environmentalist opposition to nuclear and other scientific and technological progress, to make public the complicity of officials and news and entertainment media that promote the rock-drug counterculture or condone the environmentalist doctrines. It is lunacy to imagine that there is any essential political difference between an environmentalist, such as the creatures of the Clamshell alliance, and a Baader-Meinhof terrorist gang, or between either of those and a politician who promotes the decriminalization of drugs, or who interferes with stringent enforcement of existing statutes against use and sale of marijuana and other dangerous drugs. It is not necessary to shoot a marijuana seller in the act of perpetrating his specific crime, but with such proper distinctions of law enforcement practice, all moral distinction essentially ends.

It is indispensable to declare political warfare on all that Bertrand Russell and Herbert Marcuse stood for, that Aldous Huxley stood for, and that the British-Black Zionist-Socialist International interface stands for. Otherwise the combination of drug-culture, environmentalist gangsters and terrorists can indeed become a new Jacobin sansculottes force to bring down our civilization in a new reign of terror.

Let us know clearly that the Thermidor that sent Robespierre to the guillotine and swept the Jacobin sansculottes rabble from the streets was a noble act in behalf of humanity, an act which, unfortunately, came two years too late to save France and Europe from the consequences of such a delay.

— Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.